Filed by: Trial Section Merits Panel

Mail Stop Interference P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Tel: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ESPER **BOEL**, TOVE CHRISTENSEN and HELLE F. WOLDIKE Junior Party (Application No. 08/435,557).

v.

RANDY M. **BERKA**, DANIEL CULLEN, GREGORY L. GRAY, KIRK J. HAYENGA and VIRGIL B. LAWLIS
Senior Party
(Patent Nos. 5,364,770 and 5,578,463).

Patent Interference No. 105,205

JUDGMENT - RULE 127

JUDGMENT - KULE 12

Before: W. SMITH, LANE and POTEATE, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>. POTEATE, Administrative Patent Judge.

In an interference, "priority of invention is awarded to the first party to reduce the invention to practice unless the other party can show it was the first to conceive of the invention and that it exercised reasonable diligence in later reducing the invention to practice." *Hitzeman v. Rutter*, 243 F.3d 1345, 1353, 58 USPQ2d 1161, 1166 (Fed. Cir. 2001). Boel's earliest accorded priority benefit date is March 17, 1986, the filing date of its Danish Patent Application

¹As part of Board efforts under the Government Paperwork Elimination Act, signatures on papers originating from the Board are being phased out in favor of a completely electronic record. Consequently, subsequent papers in this case originating at the Board will not have signatures. The signature requirements for the parties have not changed. *See, e.g.*, 37 C.F.R. § 10.18.

DK 1226/86. In its Preliminary Statement, Boel states that it will rely upon its accorded benefit date of March 17, 1986 during the priority stage as to both Counts 1 & 2. Paper 45, ¶ 4. Berka's accorded priority benefit date as to Counts 1 and 2 of the interference is August 29, 1985, the filing date of U.S. Patent Application 06/771,374. Based on the evidence of record, Boel cannot prevail on the question of priority of invention as against Berka.

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that judgment on priority as to Counts 1 and 2 (Paper 54 at 5) is awarded against junior party ESPER **BOEL**, TOVE CHRISTENSEN and HELLE F. WOLDIKE.

FURTHER ORDERED that junior party ESPER **BOEL**, TOVE CHRISTENSEN and HELLE F. WOLDIKE is not entitled to a patent containing claims 34-55 of application 08/435,557.

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this paper shall be made of record in the files of U.S. Application 08/435,557 and U.S. Patent Nos. 5,364,770 and 5,578,463.

FURTHER ORDERED that if there is a settlement agreement, attention is directed to 35 U.S.C. § 135(c) and 37 CFR § 41.205.

/ss/ William F. Smith WILLIAM F. SMITH Administrative Patent Judge)))	
/ss/ Sally Gardner Lane SALLY GARDNER LANE Administrative Patent Judge) D BOARD OF PATENT APPEA AND INTERFERENCE	
/ss/ Linda R. Poteate LINDA R. POTEATE Administrative Patent Judge		

cc (Federal Express):

Counsel for BERKA:

Samuel B. Abrams, Esq. JONES DAY 222 East 41st Street New York, New York 10017-6702

Tel: 212-326-3875

Counsel for BOEL:

Joseph R. Robinson, Esq. DARBY & DARBY P.C. 805 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022-7513

Tel: 212-753-6237